

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944 SC  
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

ALL INDIRECT-PURCHASER ACTIONS

*Electrograph Sys., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-01656;

*Electrograph Sys., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05724;

*Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05502;

*Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05261;

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05513;

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05264;

*Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05686;

*Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05262;

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-06275;

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05727;

*Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-06276;

*Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05726;

*CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*,

No. 11-cv-06396;

*Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*,  
No. 11-cv-06397;

*Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05723;

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-02648;

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05725;

*Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-02649;

*Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05668;

*Tech Data Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*,  
No. 13-cv-00157

*Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 14-cv-02510;

*Dell Inc., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd. et al.*, No. 13-cv-02171.

Upon consideration of Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d), submitted in connection with Defendants' Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon Plaintiffs' Failure to Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages Under the FTAIA, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk shall file and maintain under seal the following documents or excerpted portions of documents related to the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal:

Document	Sealed Portions
Defendants' Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon Plaintiffs' Failure to Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages Under the FTAIA	Portions of pages 1, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15

1 2 3 4	Exhibit A to the Reply Declaration of Jennifer M. Stewart in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon Plaintiffs' Failure to Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages Under the FTAIA ("Stewart Reply Decl.") (the April 15, 2014 Expert Report of Alan S. Frankel, Ph.D.)	Entire document
5 6	Exhibit B to the Stewart Reply Decl. (excerpts from the July 10, 2014 deposition of Alan S. Frankel, Ph.D.)	Entire excerpted document

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, to maintain the effect of the seal under Civil Local Rule  
8 79-5(f), the parties, their counsel, and their declarants shall not publicly disseminate or discuss any  
9 of the sealed documents or their contents absent further order of the Court.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

11  
12 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
13 Hon. Samuel Conti  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28